

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.         | FILING DATE                     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/686,669              | 10/17/2003                      | Man-hee Lee          | 1572.1168           | 8270             |
| 21171                   | 7590 03/05/2008                 | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| STAAS & HA<br>SUITE 700 |                                 | PAUL, DISLER         |                     |                  |
| 1201 NEW YO WASHINGTO   | ORK AVENUE, N.W.<br>ON DC 20005 |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
| Wildimidic              | 311, 20 2000                    |                      | 2615                |                  |
|                         |                                 |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|                         |                                 |                      | 03/05/2008          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) |  |
|-----------------|--------------|--|
| 10/686,669      | LEE, MAN-HEE |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit     |  |
| Disler Paul     | 2615         |  |

| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief   | Examiner   | Art Unit   | ļ  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|  | Disler Paul  | 2615   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 18 December 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS   | S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F   | OR ALLOWANCE.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or<br>this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow<br>places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No<br>a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian-<br>time periods:  | n the same day as filing a Notice of<br>wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff<br>otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in<br>ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m               | Appeal. To avoid aba<br>fidavit, or other evider<br>compliance with 37 C | nce, which<br>FR 41.31; or (3)             |  |  |  |  |  |
| The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exampler 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.<br>dension and the corresponding amount<br>shortened statutory period for reply orig<br>r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropr<br>inally set in the final Offi                  | iate extension fee<br>ce action; or (2) as |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS  | ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to   | o avoid dismissal of th  | ns of the date of<br>ne appeal. Since      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  | but prior to the date of filing a brief  | , will <u>not</u> be entered b   | ecause                                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  |  | /I ⊏ Delow),   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or  | tter form for appeal by materially re  | educing or simplifying   | the issues for                             |  |  |  |  |  |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a   | corresponding number of finally re   | jected claims.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1  |  | ompliant Amendment   | (P1OL-324).                                |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s</li><li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a</li></ul>   | ):<br>.llowable if submitted in a congrate   | timely filed amendme   | ant canceling the                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a<br/>non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>  | mowable il subflitted ili a separate,  | unlely med amending  | ent canceling the                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  | ☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ worlded below or appended.  | ill be entered and an  | explanation of                             |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-39</u> .   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>  | ut before or on the date of filing a N<br>nd sufficient reasons why the affida   | lotice of Appeal will <u>n</u><br>vit or other evidence i                | ot be entered<br>s necessary and           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to<br>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa  | overcome all rejections under appe   | eal and/or appellant fa  | ils to provide a                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  |  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·                                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).  VIVION CI-II-I SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMENDE  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Vr.  | ION CHIM   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| •  | 7.0  | NOVICOY MATE   | THY EXAMENOD                               |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ςυ   | her assort have  | . , , ,                                    |  |  |  |  |  |